

OER Course Marking at U.S. Colleges and Universities

August 23, 2023

Presenters



Jenny Parks
Vice President
MHEC



Liliana Diaz
Senior Policy Analyst
WICHE



Gina Johnson
Principal & Co-founder
Data EmpowerED Consulting


National Consortium for OER (NCOER)

- Support from the Hewlett Foundation
- Partnership between the four higher education regional compacts: MHEC, NEBHE, SREB, WICHE
- Coordinated by the Southern Regional Education Board (SREB)



First Report in the Series

<https://www.mhec.org/sites/default/files/resources/2022MHECOER-Toward-Convergence.pdf>



Student Cost Savings Framework




Step 1: Identify Courses and Sections Using OER

- ✓ Adopt the standard definition of OER and, if appropriate, low-cost material
- ✓ Ensure faculty members and/or other relevant Staff know the definitions
- ✓ Create mechanisms for leaders and students to identify courses with OER, ideally within a data system linked to enrollment.

Step 2: Determine the Actual or Estimated Enrollment for Courses Utilizing OER

Step 3: Multiply Enrollment By The Cost of the Resourced Replaced by OER

Calculating Student Cost Savings




$$\text{Total Enrollment} \times (\text{Cost of Original Resource} - \text{Any Costs to Students}) = \text{Cost Savings}$$

Key Enabler: Course marking enables both institutions AND students to identify courses that use OER or low-cost material, which makes it easier for students to plan and save money and states and systems to estimate how much money students saved.

Helpful Resource: The Open Education Network data dashboard helps leaders track the various components of cost savings.

WICHE Research on OER

Time-to-Completion: No-Cost/Low-Cost



- Pilot study
- Recruited several institution
- Data challenges
- Promising findings
- Expanding to national study on no-cost/ZTC

OER Course Marking Landscape Analysis Survey

Survey Methodology

- Conducted by MHEC in collaboration with the National Consortium of Open Educational Resources (NCOER)
- 164 respondents representing 29 states
- Topics explored:
 - Course marking and validation processes
 - Motivations for course marking
 - Use of course marking data
 - Course marking opportunities and challenges

Does your institution/system have a method for marking courses that use OER?

Response	Percentage	Number
Yes, we have implemented a method for marking courses.	37%	60
No, but we are in the formal planning stage of method development.	6%	10
No, but we have discussed developing a method for marking courses.	31%	51
No, this is not a topic we have discussed at our institution.	26%	43
Other	0%	0

Course Marking Process


At your institution/system, which of the following course marking designation(s) includes OER? (Select all that apply.)

Response	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Number
	Institutions		Systems	
OER is its own designation	33%	15	13%	1
OER is captured as part of "No cost to students/Zero Textbook Cost (ZTC)"	67%	30	88%	7
OER is captured as part of "Low cost to students/Low Textbook Cost (LTC)"	29%	13	38%	3
Other		9		1

30 different terms shared involving 41 different definitions.

Most common (11): "Low-cost" is <\$40

What units or individuals are involved in course marking at your institution? (Select all that apply.)




What was the process that led to the establishment of course marking? (Themes)

- State law was enacted
- System-level/Board policy was enacted
- Participation in external programs related to OER (e.g., Open Education Network's Certificate in OER Librarianship, OpenStax Institutional Partner Program)
- Initiated by OER leads (e.g., librarian, teaching & learning, Registrar)
- Initiated by OER/affordable learning committee
- Initiated by faculty and retention professionals
- Initiated by student government
- Initiated by one department and spread to institution
- Dean-level/leadership support
- Feature of bookstore catalog
- Created Z-degree and needed a method of tracking participation

24% of institutions and 25% of systems that responded have a **committee or task force** that oversees course marking of OER.

Where are course marking data stored for access by the institution/system for administrative purposes? (Select all that apply.)



Course Marking Validation Process

Is **training** provided for the individuals responsible for marking course data related to OER?

Institutions

33% Yes | 49% No | 18% Unsure

Systems

13% Yes | 63% No | 25% Unsure

When an individual marks a course as using OER, is there a **process for checking** that the course does, in fact, use OER?

Institutions

42% Yes | 53% No | 4% Unsure

Systems

25% Yes | 63% No | 13% Unsure

Use of Course Marking Data

In which of the following ways does your institution/system use course marking data related to OER? (Select all that apply.)

Response	Percentage	Number
To provide information to students about course materials.	85%	11
For cost savings/return on investment (ROI) analyses.	38%	5
To assess impact on student outcomes.	38%	5
To comply with reporting requirements.	23%	3
We do not currently use course marking data related to OER.	15%	2

Survey respondents who shared their email address to receive a preview of results and who reported that they mark courses were asked to complete a brief follow-up survey on use of course marking data. 13 respondents completed the follow-up survey.

Technology

If your institution/system has an SIS and/or course catalog software, did you need to make changes to your SIS and/or software to be able to mark courses with OER?

Institutions

42% Yes | 32% No | 26% Unsure

Systems


71% Yes | 14% No | 14% Unsure

Necessary technology changes:

- Add a new designator field or attribute to their system(s), though a few institutions indicate course type using a comment field
- Labels were added so that students can search for different course types in the course catalog
- Changes made to the course search and display pages so that the SIS/software displayed course type for students to access in their searches

Motivations for Course Marking of OER

Policies Related to Course Marking



What is working well?



Resource Allocation

- OER part of strategic plan
- Resources committed to OER
- Committed and helpful registrar
- Effective OER committee



Technology/Process

- Attribute already existed in systems
- Bookstore and publishers recognize markings
- Established workflow



Communications

- Between bookstore and institution
- With students



Ease of Use

- For students to see costs/find courses
- For faculty to report information



Other

- Visual signal to students – affordability and accessibility
- Promotes OER/increased number of courses using OER
- Award for depts offering the most courses using OER
- Provides useful data for the institution

What are the obstacles?



Lack of Support

- OER use is low
- No state-level policy
- Lack of funding
- Leadership turnover
- Lack of institutional commitment
- No committee
- No lead
- Lack of faculty involvement
- Challenging to work with IT/textbook provider
- Limited tech resources
- Lack of time



Definition challenges

- Lack definition of “low cost”
- Lack clarity when faculty say no textbook required (e.g., OER, on reserve, in database with institutional fee)



Technology/Process

- Bookstore partner system is inconsistent
- Course marking not available in institutional systems
- Difficult to make changes in SIS
- Lack of universal SIS
- Data not easily extractable
- Difficult to compile data in one place for students
- Process not automated
- Marking done by course, not faculty



Data input

- Inconsistent/lack of marking by faculty
- Course materials change by semester
- Mark course, not faculty for section
- Data are needed earlier than faculty are assigned
- Lack of verification of data
- High turnover in admin asst who input data



Awareness

- Lack of student awareness that data are available
- Lack of faculty awareness that they need to initiate the marking process

Next Steps

- Complete survey data analysis and publish report of findings in fall 2023
- Convene OER Course Marking Workgroup from September 2023 to February 2024
- Publish a report of recommendations of the OER Course Marking Workgroup in late-spring 2024

Questions



Submit
questions in
the chat.